Restructuring of MFI Framework Zeolite Models and Their Associated Artifacts in Density Functional Theory Calculations
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ABSTRACT: This study compares and evaluates multiple orthorhombic silicalite MFI framework structures using periodic density functional theory (DFT) calculations implemented with a wide range of exchange-correlation functionals and dispersion-correction schemes. Optimization of the structure available from the International Zeolite Association (IZA) yields only metastable forms, which restructure to arrangements 18–156 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) lower in energy (55 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) on average) through annealing and adsorption/desorption processes without altering their connectivity. These restructuring events can occur unintentionally during DFT studies of adsorptive and catalytic properties, leading to very large artifacts in DFT-predicted adsorption, reaction, and activation energies. Pre-annealing the IZA structure prevents restructuring and these artifacts but forms MFI structures which do not conform to the \(\text{Pnma}\) spacegroup symmetry and have significantly perturbed sinusoidal and straight channel geometries. These issues persist across a wide range of exchange-correlation functionals, including common choices such as the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof and Bayesian error estimation functionals, and dispersion-correction schemes such as the D3 method. Direct optimization of structures generated from the work of van Koningsveld et al. and Olson et al., in contrast, yields structures that are extremely similar across all functionals, restructure less often during annealing, and have smaller energy shifts when they do restructure (5 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), on average). Optimizing the unit cell parameters of these structures without constraining atoms or the unit cell shape also yields more stable structures, though often with unit cell parameters that do not closely match structures found experimentally. Annealing of other commonly studied zeolites (BEA, CHA, and LTA) does not yield structures with energy decreases or structural changes as significant as those for MFI. This study thus illuminates a potential source of significant error for DFT studies of MFI and provides evidence-based solutions for a variety of DFT methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zeolites are microporous aluminosilicates that are important in industrial and academic applications, including separations processes, catalytic cracking, and biomass conversion. Zeolites stabilize adsorbed guest species in their pores through noncovalent interactions (e.g., dispersive, electrostatic, H-bonding), which depend on the size and shape of the zeolite pores. Over 200 zeolite frameworks have been synthesized, and more than 350 000 others are theoretically stable materials and thus potential synthesis targets. MFI has two distinct 10-member ring (10-MR) channels: one straight and one sinusoidal, which intersect to form larger voids. These structural properties make MFI a shape-selective catalyst and separation material. Furthermore, MFI is stable at a wide range of temperatures (up to at least 800 K), making it an ideal catalyst for broad applications.

Density functional theory (DFT) is commonly employed to analyze sorptive and catalytic properties of zeolites, including MFI. Many different exchange-correlation functionals are used in zeolite-related DFT studies; however, dispersive and van der Waals forces are not internally accounted for in most DFT functionals, a correction which is important for energy calculations in zeolites as micropores of MFI stabilize guest species through dispersive and van der Waals interactions. These interactions can be included in calculations within an exchange-correlation functional—e.g., the van der Waals density functional (vdW-DF2)—or by using empirically fitted adjustments to energies calculated with functionals that do not internally account for these interactions—e.g., combining the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional with Grimme’s DFT-D3 dispersion correction.
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There are three orthorhombic crystal structures that are commonly used as source structures for DFT calculations of the MFI framework: one provided by the International Zeolite Association (IZA) database (IZA-DLS76),\textsuperscript{86} one published by Olson et al. (1981) from X-ray diffraction of a ZSM-5 structure with a Si/Al ratio near 90 (Olson-Ortho),\textsuperscript{89} and one published by van Koningsveld et al. (1990) from X-ray diffraction measurements of a high-temperature-treated MFI framework zeolite (vK-HT-Ortho; Si/Al ≈ 300).\textsuperscript{90} These MFI structures are all orthorhombic with the \textit{Pnma} space group symmetry, and their cell parameters are summarized in Table 1. Each framework contains 96 tetrahedral Si atoms (T-sites) and 192 O atoms in its unit cell. The IZA-DLS76 structure is most commonly deployed among theoretical investigations of the properties of MFI zeolites.

Here, we document how these three MFI source structures (IZA-DLS76, Olson-Ortho, and vK-HT-Ortho) are unstable in many common exchange–correlation functionals and dispersion-correction schemes. Optimization of these structures results in metastable frameworks. These can restructure into more stable forms during DFT studies of their catalytic and sorptive properties, resulting in significant artifacts affecting the predictions of relevant adsorption and reaction energies. These restructuring events can occur through perturbation associated with adsorption of guest species or through ab initio molecular dynamic simulated annealing calculations. Scheme 1 outlines an example of this restructuring for the IZA-DLS76 structure. Direct optimization yields a local minimum structure which is similar to the original unoptimized structure; the formation of a Brønsted acid site and the adsorption of a methylbenzene intermediate (Scheme 1c), however, sufficiently perturbs the framework to allow it to access an MFI structure \( 157 \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \) more stable than the directly optimized structure upon removal of the adsorbate and Brønsted acid and subsequent re-optimization (Scheme 1e). Similarly, simulated annealing of the directly optimized structure followed by re-optimization yields an essentially identical structure (Scheme 1g) that is \( 156 \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \) more stable than the directly optimized structure. These restructuring events are not consistent during adsorption and reaction modeling and thus can cause severe artifacts in the calculation of adsorption, activation, and reaction energies by DFT methods. The stability of directly optimized MFI structures differs for all three MFI source structures and across all DFT methodologies used herein. Energy barriers to transition from one MFI structure to another were calculated to be less than \( 85 \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \), indicating that these restructuring events are likely to occur at reaction conditions providing further evidence of the flexibility of the framework to modify its local structure near guest species. The artifacts associated with these transformations can be mitigated by pre-annealing MFI in the DFT methodologies of the study to obtain a more stable structure which is less likely to subsequently restructure and thus will provide a more consistent catalyst model for all adsorption and reaction modeling calculations.

### 2. METHODS AND INPUT STRUCTURES

#### 2.1. Computational Methods.

Periodic planewave DFT calculations were performed using the Vienna ab initio software package (VASP)\textsuperscript{91–94} Planewaves were constructed using the projector-augmented wave method.\textsuperscript{95} The energy cutoff for optimizations was \( 400 \text{eV} \) for calculations which did not vary the unit cell parameters and \( 800 \text{eV} \) for those that allowed the unit cell size to vary. Atomic positions were optimized such that the forces on each atom were \( <0.01 \text{eV} \text{Å}^{-1} \) and no atoms were constrained during these optimization calculations. Several exchange–correlation functionals were compared within this work: the PBE functional,\textsuperscript{81} the revised PBE functional (RPBE),\textsuperscript{96} the optB86b and optPBE functionals that internally account for van der Waals forces,\textsuperscript{97,98} the updated van der Waals density functional (vdW-DF2),\textsuperscript{79,80} the Perdew–Wang 91 functional (PW91),\textsuperscript{99} the reviewed PBE with internal vdW correction (revPBE-vdW),\textsuperscript{101,102} the PBE functional revised for solids (PBEsol),\textsuperscript{103,104} and the Bayesian error estimation functional (BEEF).\textsuperscript{105} Structures optimized using the RPBE and PBE functionals were optimized with and without DFT-D3 dispersion correction with Becke–Johnson damping (D3BJ),\textsuperscript{12,33} denoted as RPBE-D and PBE-D. The Brillouin zone was sampled at the \( \Gamma \)-point for all calculations. The PBE functional remains the most common functional for analysis of

---

**Table 1. Origins and Framework Unit Cell Parameters for Each of the Tested Frameworks in This Work**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>source</th>
<th>Si/Al</th>
<th>( a, b, c (\text{Å}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IZA-DLS76</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>( 20.090, 19.738, 13.142 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olson-Ortho</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>( 20.07, 19.92, 13.42 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vK-HT-Ortho</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>( 20.078, 19.894, 13.372 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scheme 1. Formation of Different Si-Form Structures with MFI Connectivity Starting with the IZA-DLS76 Structure Using the RPBE Exchange–Correlation Functional and the DFT-D3 Dispersion Scheme**

"Adsorbates and simulated annealing can allow the surrounding framework to overcome barriers and escape local minima to restructure, resulting in more stable Si-forms."
MFI properties, though the newer BEEF functional—which internally accounts for noncovalent interactions—is growing in use. Generic comparisons across a wide set of properties indicate that RPBE is more accurate than PBE for nonspecific applications, and more accurately captures H-bonding behavior of adsorbates in zeolites. All energies reported here are electronic energies ($E_0$) and are reported for entire MFI unit cells (i.e., total energies for all 96 tetrahedral units of the MFI unit cell, not normalized per tetrahedral unit), unless otherwise noted.

Unit cell parameters were optimized for each MFI source structure (Table 1) with each functional and dispersive correction scheme examined here (Table 2). Unit cell parameters were optimized both with and without concurrent shape relaxation and with and without concurrent relaxation of the atomic coordinates (settings 3, 6, and 7 of VASP’s ISIF parameter). An energy cutoff of 800 eV was used for all unit cell parameter optimization calculations and were electronically converged (instead of a force convergence) such that energies calculated between iterations differed by <1 × 10⁻⁶ eV.

Periodic ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) calculations were used to simulate annealing of each MFI source structure (Table 1) in each functional (Table 2). Structures were annealed from 200 to 800 K over 3 ps, held at 800 K for 3 ps, and cooled from 800 to 100 K for 15 ps. A timestep of 3 fs was used for all AIMD calculations. Following the cooling step, the final structure from the simulated annealing was optimized such that the forces on each atom were <0.01 eV Å⁻¹, similar to all other optimizations.

The nudged elastic band (NEB) method was used to approximate barriers associated with restructuring the MFI framework. The NEB method used 16 images and converged structures to <0.1 eV Å⁻¹.

### 2.2. Input Structures.
MFI structures were obtained from the IZA database (IZA-DLS76), recreated from the experimental results of Olson et al. (Olson-Ortho), and from the experimental results of van Koningsveld et al. (vK-HT-Ortho). The IZA-DLS76, Olson-Ortho, and vK-HT-Ortho structures are shown in Figure 1. While these structures share the same symmetry rules, the atomic positions and unit cell parameters differ slightly. The $a$ unit cell vectors of the three structures differ by ≤0.02 Å across all three forms but the $b$ and $c$ vectors vary by 0.18 and 0.28 Å between IZA-DLS76 (shortest $b$ and $c$ vectors) and Olson-Ortho (longest $b$ and $c$ vectors). The straight pore of IZA-DLS76 is elliptical (minimum and maximum T–T site distances of 8.31 and 9.78 Å, a ratio of 1.18; Figure 1) while the pores of the Olson-Ortho and vK-HT-Ortho structures are nearly circular (maximum T–T distance of 9.33 Å for both structures and minimum distances of 8.98 and 8.92 Å).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>functional</th>
<th>dispersive correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEEF</td>
<td>internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPBE</td>
<td>none, D3BJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>none, D3BJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBEsol</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>optPBE</td>
<td>internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revPBE-vdW</td>
<td>internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>optB86b</td>
<td>internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vdW-DF2</td>
<td>internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW91</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1.** MFI structures from (a) IZA database (IZA-DLS76), (b) experimental results of Olson et al. (Olson-Ortho), and (c) experimental results of van Koningsveld et al. (vK-HT-Ortho). The top row shows the view down the straight channel of MFI (along the $b$ vector or [010]) and the bottom image shows a view down the sinusoidal channel (along the $a$ vector or [100]). Each view is centered along the central axis of the respective channel. The lengths of the $a$, $b$, and $c$ unit cell vectors for each structure are shown below in Å. The maximum (blue) and minimum (red) distances between T-sites across the straight pores of each structure are also shown below in Å.
8.92 Å, a ratio of 1.04 for both; Figure 1). The optimization method used to create the IZA-DLS76 structure led to some disorder in the framework compared to the experimental source structures (Figure 1). Additionally, a 2 × 2 × 2 supercell of α-quartz (unit cell parameters $a = b = 10.056$ Å, $c = 11.038$ Å, $\alpha = \beta = 90^\circ, \gamma = 120^\circ$) was optimized in each of the above functionals.

2.3. Quantifying Structural Differences for MFI with Identical Unit Cell Parameters. A 3N-dimensional distance ($\Delta x_{3N}$) can be used to quantify the difference between two structures with identical unit cell parameters. This value can be used to quantify structural similarity but does not convey detailed information about structural differences. A 3N-dimensional distance between two structures ($\Delta x_{3N}$) is calculated by

$$\Delta x_{3N} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - x_i')^2 + (y_i - y_i')^2 + (z_i - z_i')^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

where $x_i, y_i,$ and $z_i$ are the coordinates in Å of atom $i$ in the unit cell of one structure and $x_i', y_i',$ and $z_i'$ are the coordinates of atom $i$ in the second structure.

Similar or identical structures have identical energies; therefore, below a threshold value of $\Delta x_{3N},$ MFI structures can be considered equivalent despite slight structural differences. To determine this cutoff distance for uniqueness, a total of 10 877 structures were optimized with a Bronsted acid site at the T11 position in MFI and adsorbates from the methanol-to-olefin (MTO) processes (e.g., methylenbenzenes, methanol, and dimethyl ether) in IZA-DLS76. The MFI framework underwent structural changes associated with the formation of a Bronsted acid site and addition of an adsorbate during these MTO-related calculations. Subsequently, Si-forms for each structure were generated by removing the Bronsted acid site and adsorbates without altering the location of any framework atoms. The 3N-dimensional distance was calculated between each structure; groups of structures separated by <2.0 Å were considered equivalent, leaving 2122 structures, which were then optimized to give a set of structures with MFI connectivity and their associated energies. The results of these calculations were then compared to determine how structural similarity (defined by $\Delta x_{3N}$ distances) informed energy differences. Nearly all structural pairings (96.2%) with $\Delta x_{3N} < 3$ Å had energies within 5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ of each other, and essentially all (99.9%) pairings with $\Delta x_{3N} < 3$ Å had energies within 10 kJ mol$^{-1}$ of each other (see Table S1, Supporting Information). Structures with $\Delta x_{3N} > 3$ Å of one another had energy differences of 0–157 kJ mol$^{-1}$ without a significant correlation within this range, as expected because two very different structures can have similar energies. Therefore, a cutoff distance of 3.0 Å appears reasonable to determine structural uniqueness, as structures within this 3N-distance of one another have extremely similar energies. All structures within the cutoff distance of one another ($\Delta x_{3N} < 3$ Å) were considered equivalent structures, leading to a total of 25 “unique” structures after optimization of the 2122 Si-form MFI structures. These 25 structures were optimized in each of the exchange–correlation functional schemes used here (Table 2).

This 3N distance can also be used to calculate deviation from the symmetry that may occur during optimization and restructuring events. Optimized structures can be used to generate symmetric structures by selecting one of each unique atom (T-site and O-site) in the output structure and applying the symmetry rules for MFI to generate the theoretical locations of the remaining atoms in MFI. The resulting 3N-dimensional distance between the optimized structure and the symmetric structure ($\Delta x_{sym}$) thus gives an indication as to how closely a structure that was optimized free of any constraints still conforms to the $Pnma$ spacegroup symmetry.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Optimization of MFI Source Structures in Different Functionals. Optimizing the atomic positions of the three MFI source structures (Table 1, Figure 1) leads to different output structures in each functional examined in this work (Table 2). Relative $\Delta x_{3N}$ values illustrate the amount of structural change between the input structures and their outputs after optimization in each functional. The relative deviations of the optimized IZA-DLS76 from the unoptimized structure are shown in Figure 2a. Optimization with RPBE-D alters the input structure the least ($\Delta x_{3N} = 1.83$ Å, indicating no significant change) while optimization in revPBE-vdW alters the input structure the most ($\Delta x_{3N} = 9.60$ Å) among the tested functionals. The revPBE-vdW functional optimizes IZA-DLS76 most differently from all other functionals, with an average distance from the other structures of 8.82 Å. These optimizations of the IZA-DLS76 structure lead to four categories of structures within which 3N-distances between structures are <3 Å. Optimized structures from the RPBE-D, optB86b, optPBE, and vdW-DF2 methods are similar to one another (Figure 3a), as are results from PBE-D and BEEF (Figure 3b) and results from RPBE, PBE, and PW91 (Figure 3c).
Furthermore, both the PBE and PBE-D outputs change significantly (Figure 3a). Inclusion of dispersive forces with the PBE functional does not result in differences as large as those for the RPBE functional. Additionally, both the PBE and PBE-D outputs change significantly from the source IZA-DLS76 structure, whereas the RPBE optimization changes the input structure significantly (7.76 Å), but RPBE-D is very similar to the unoptimized IZA-DLS76 source.

The vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures exhibit extremely similar behavior across all functionals tested during optimization (Figure 2b,c). For each of these inputs, the optimized structure deviated significantly (Δx_{3N} > 5.4 Å) from the MFI source structure, unlike the IZA-DLS76 optimizations. For the vK-HT-Ortho structure, the outputs of each functional differed by <2.2 Å from the outputs of other functionals, indicating that optimization of the vK-HT-Ortho structure resulted in approximately the same structure regardless of the DFT method (see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information for images of these structures). Similarly, optimized structures for the Olson-Ortho structure did not deviate significantly (Δx_{3N} < 3.0 Å) across functionals, except for the RPBE-D and PBEsol functionals, whose structures differ from other functionals by an average of 4.0 Å and are equivalent (see Figure S4 in the Supporting Information for images of structures).

The IZA-DLS76 MFI source behaves differently than the vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures. Direct optimization of IZA-DLS76 results in a variety of optimized structures depending on the exact functional and dispersion-correction scheme used in contrast to the relative structural indifference of the other MFI source structures.

Despite these structural deviations from the input structures, the optimized structures in each functional maintain the symmetry of the Pnma spacegroup; Δx_{sym} values are less than 0.005 Å for all directly optimized IZA-DLS76, vK-HT-Ortho, and Olson-Ortho structures. This indicates that the forces on each atom during direct optimization remain symmetric. These optimization calculations obtain local minima, however, which may not be global minima for MFI. After optimization, the MFI structure can be perturbed in two ways to yield Si-forms of energies that deviate from these directly optimized structures: through simulated annealing or through a pathway involving the adsorption of guest species (Scheme 1). Simulated annealing allows restructuring barriers to be overcome and new MFI forms to be found for each MFI source structure by simulating framework movement at high temperatures. The adsorption restructuring pathway changes the energy landscape by introducing an Al and proton to the framework and by the addition of guest species, which interact with the surrounding framework. The framework adjusts to accommodate guest species, which allows for restructuring barriers to be overcome. After desorption and removal of the added Brønsted acid site, MFI forms of differing stabilities can be found by optimizing the resulting Si-form.

3.2. Restructuring in MFI via Guest Species. New forms of the MFI framework were found by optimizing an H-form (Si/Al = 95) MFI in the presence of an adsorbate, followed by the removal of the Brønsted acid site and that adsorbate (Scheme 1c−e). Zeolite frameworks move to accommodate guest species; upon desorption, reversion to the Si-form, and subsequent optimization, the zeolite should return to the original framework structure. In cases described here, however, a more favorable form resulted from these framework alterations as predicted by these DFT methods. Notably, this is not adsorbate-forced restructuring; the initial Si-form of the MFI framework is inherently unstable, and the movement to accommodate any guest species allows for the framework to overcome the barrier required for framework atoms to shift to more stable positions.

If these restructuring events occur when guest species are in an unstable form of the MFI framework, they can lead to artifacts.
that distort energies and structures. For example, restructuring can occur during optimization of the reactants, transition state, or products during calculations of reaction and activation energies; Figure 4 shows an example of artifacts we observed that resulted from restructuring induced by a transition state species during surface methylation in MFI, where restructuring leads to a false low barrier (and negative reverse barrier) because of a reduction in the energy of the surrounding framework. The restructuring decreased the relative energy of the MFI framework by 70 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for the transition state relative to the reactants or products which did not significantly restructure. The calculated $\Delta E_{\text{act}}$ appears to be 31 kJ mol$^{-1}$ when it is 101 kJ mol$^{-1}$; similarly, the reverse barrier appears to be negative (−22 kJ mol$^{-1}$) because of this artifact.

Restructuring in IZA-DLS76 was further examined by creating Si-form structures out of 10 877 optimized H-form structures related to the MTO process; of these, 2122 were optimized and analyzed, yielding 25 unique structures (see Section 2.3 for more details). These 25 unique structures were optimized in all DFT methods examined here (Table 2). Of those, we compare here the most stable structures for each functional. The functionals tested yield three groupings of structures that are considered most stable by each functional: (1) that favored by RPBE-D; (2) that favored by PBE-D, optB86b, and PW91; and (3) the structure favored by RPBE, PBE, PBEsol, optPBE, vdW-DF2, revPBE-vdW, and BEEF (Figure 5). Structural distances outside of these groupings are >10 Å in all cases, indicating these three groups of structures are very different from one another. The structure found through restructuring through this pathway with RPBE-D is totally unique, both from the input form and from the outputs of all other functionals, as the distances between its output and all other structures are >11 Å (Figure 5).

The output from RPBE-D shows disordering in its pore characteristics (Figure 6a), such that atoms that were aligned or nearly aligned in the initial IZA-DLS76 structure are no longer ordered. The outputs from the remaining functionals share similar characteristics, despite the uniqueness of the two groupings. Both the grouping from PBE-D, optB86b, and PW91 and the grouping from RPBE, PBE, PBEsol, optPBE, vdW-DF2, revPBE-vdW, and BEEF cease to have straight pores after restructuring has occurred, but instead the straight pore has deformed to yield two different regimes along the $b$ vector. In these structures, the major axis of the ellipse formed by the MFI pore changes directions, creating a pseudo-sinusoidal regime. After the framework restructures subsequent to adsorption/desorption, it no longer retains the $Pnma$ spacegroup symmetry (all structures have $\Delta x_{\text{sym}} > 8$ Å), and these deviations from the symmetry are apparent in the relative disorder in the straight pore of the RPBE-D optimized structure (Figure 6a) and in the sinusoidal views of the other structures (Figure 6b,c).

The structures produced from this analysis have large ranges in energy (Figure 7). The energy spread for the structures optimized by vdW-DF2 is the largest (181 kJ mol$^{-1}$) and is smallest in optB86b (123 kJ mol$^{-1}$). Critically, each scheme tested here shows many local minima with MFI connectivity but with energy spreads of >100 kJ mol$^{-1}$. If this adsorbate-facilitated restructuring occurs unexpectedly, reactant, product, and transition state energies could be incorrectly calculated (Figure 4). For most functionals, several unique structures exist within 20 kJ mol$^{-1}$ of the most stable structure found by facilitating restructuring with adsorbates; restructuring between

![Figure 4. Reaction coordinate diagram that illustrates artifacts that can result from restructuring with adsorbate species in the IZA-DLS76 framework with RPBE-D. (a) Electronic energies ($E_0$) of the reactants, transition state, and products for surface methylation in MFI and (b) energies of the underlying Si-forms of the framework for each calculation. Calculations in restructured frameworks are in blue and those in frameworks that optimized to the same form as the optimized IZA form are in black.](image)

![Figure 5. Calculated 3N-dimensional distances between the input IZA-DLS76 structure and the most stable structure in each functional found by adsorbate-facilitated restructuring. The rightmost column and bottom row ($\Sigma/n$) show the average distance between the optimized structure from each functional and those from all other functionals and the unoptimized IZA-DLS76 structure.](image)
Zeolites are flexible materials, so the presence of multiple local minima with similar energies is expected; however, these structures differ slightly in energy (structures within 20 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) differ by <0.21 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) when normalized per T-site) but significantly in atomic arrangement. These changes in energy, though slight at initial glance, can result in large changes in predictions of catalytic properties. For example, if restructuring occurs for a transition state calculation but not for reactants and products (as shown in Figure 4), the rate constant predicted by DFT calculations would change.

### 3.3. Annealing To Find Optimal Si-Form Structures

Simulated annealing using AIMD can overcome restructuring barriers to form more favorable forms of MFI from input structures. Simulated annealing followed by optimization should, in principle, result in the most stable conformation of MFI in each functional if the structure is cooled slowly enough; however, computational restrictions force short annealing times (<20 ps), and thus, annealed structures were not the global minimum in all cases. These annealing calculations can demonstrate the relative instability of the initial framework. Table 3 shows the energy difference between the annealed-

| Source and the DFT Method | IZA-DLS76 (Ads.) \(\Delta E_{\text{Eo}}\) | IZA-DLS76 \(\Delta E_{\text{Eo}}\) | vK-HT-Ortho Olson-Ortho | 
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------| 
| BEEF                      | -45             | -45             | -4              | -41             | 
| RPBE-D                    | -157            | -156            | -19             | -41             | 
| RPBE                      | -46             | -45             | -18             | -2              | 
| PBE                       | -44             | -35             | -7              | -2              | 
| PBEsol                    | -34             | -21             | -7              | -2              | 
| optPBE                    | -98             | -59             | -1              | -5              | 
| revPBE-vdW                | -46             | -17             | -9              | -11             | 
| optB86b                   | -42             | -91             | -11             | -5              | 
| vdW-DF2                   | -102            | -77             | -11             | -5              | 
| PW91                      | -41             | -41             | -5              | -5              | 

*Missing values indicate that annealing did not result in a more stable MFI structure (taken as 0 for averages). Energy differences for IZA-DLS76 structures obtained through adsorbate-facilitated restructuring (Section 3.2).*

Annealing of the IZA-DLS76 structure resulted in the largest reduction in energy from the directly optimized structure, with an average decrease in energy of 55 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) across all DFT methods after annealing. Those functionals that alter the IZA-DLS76 structure least during direct optimization (RPBE-D, optB86B-vdW, optPBE-vdW, and vdW-DF2; \(\Delta E_{\text{NN}} < 3.5\) Å between the optimized and unoptimized structures for each of these functionals) also show the largest decrease in energy from the directly optimized to annealed forms, indicating that the initial atomic positions of IZA-DLS76 are unfavorable across all functionals. Optimization of IZA-DLS76, therefore, leads only to metastable structures which can restructure to minima 18–156 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) lower in energy without altering framework
connectivity, and initial optimization is insufficient for finding a stable MFI structure.

Annealing the IZA-DLS76 structure leads to very different structures across functionals (Figure 8a). Each of the annealed and optimized structures differ from the input IZA-DLS76 structure by >1 Å, indicating large deviations from the expected structure upon annealing for each functional tested. The distance between the outputs of optB86b, PW91, and BEEF and the distance between the outputs of revPBE-vdW and PBE are <3 Å, indicating that the annealing led to similar structures for these functionals, as is also the case for the RPBE, PBEsol, optPBE, and vdW-DF2 functionals. After annealing and optimization in BEEF, optB86b, and PW91, the well-ordered straight pore becomes distorted, forming two different regimes, where the major axis of the elliptical pore is oriented in two different directions (similar to that shown in Figure 6b,c). This pseudo-sinusoidal pore formation also occurs upon annealing and optimization in vdW-DF2, RPBE, PBEsol, optPBE, and PBE, and revPBE-vdW without altering the direction of the major axis of the elliptical pore (Figure 9c,d). Annealing also leads to large distortions in the structure of the sinusoidal pore; the rotational symmetry in the (100) plane is broken in all functionals tested. This is accompanied by twisting in the sinusoidal pore, leading to an elliptical pore shape in all functionals tested, as opposed to the circular and symmetric sinusoidal pore shape of the input IZA-DLS76 structure. The annealed and optimized structures for BEEF and RPBE-D are equivalent to their most stable adsorbate-restructured forms ($\Delta x_{3N} < 3.0$ Å). The random movements associated with AIMD lead to larger deviations from the $Pnma$ spacegroup symmetry in these annealed calculations than in the direct-optimization calculations as shown by $\Delta x_{3N}$ values $>8$ Å across all functionals for the IZA-DLS76 MFI source, similar to those for adsorbate-facilitated restructured IZA-DLS76 forms ($\Delta x_{3N} > 8$ Å).

Annealing does not affect the energies of the vK-HT-Ortho nor the Olson-Ortho structures as much as annealing affects IZA-DLS76. On average, the vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures decrease in $E_0$ by 5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Table 3), across all tested functionals. Unlike IZA-DLS76, annealing of the vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures does not universally result in a decrease in $E_0$ relative to the directly optimized structure, which indicates that the directly optimized structures are stable and near the global minimum. Again, the most extreme change in energy during annealing occurs with RPBE and RPBE-D; annealing of the vK-HT-Ortho structure results in an $E_0$ decrease of 19 kJ mol$^{-1}$ with RPBE and annealing of the Olson-Ortho structure results in a decrease in $E_0$ of 41 kJ mol$^{-1}$ using RPBE-D.

Annealing of vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures does not lead to the same large structural changes as with IZA-DLS76. The distances between the annealed-optimized structures and the unoptimized structures are <8 Å on average for both the vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures, which is ~6 Å less than the average distance between the annealed-optimized and unoptimized IZA-DLS76 structures (Figure 8a–c; structures less stable than their directly optimized forms were still included in these figures). As with the optimizations of these structures, annealing leads to more similar structures across functionals than for IZA-DLS76. For example, annealing of the vK-HT-Ortho structure in the PBE, PBE-D, optPBE, and revPBE-vdW functionals leads to the same structure ($\Delta x_{3N} < 3$ Å between each structure). Similarly, annealing and optimization of the Olson-Ortho structure leads to equivalent structures in the PBE, optPBE, and BEEF functionals, indicating more agreement between functionals for these two structures during annealing than with the IZA-DLS76 structure. Annealing the vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures in RPBE-D and optB86b functionals results in structures that are unique to each of those functionals ($\Delta x_{3N} > 3$ Å for all annealed structures in other functionals). While the output structures of annealing in PBE and PBE-D of the vK-HT-Ortho are equivalent, the results of annealing and optimization in RPBE and RPBE-D differ significantly for all three input structures. Furthermore, annealing with the RPBE functional leads to structures that are similar to the outputs of other functionals for the vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho inputs (vdW-DF2 and BEEF for vK-HT-Ortho and vdW-DF2 and revPBE-vdW for Olson-Ortho), while RPBE-D appears to be an outlier for both. The difference in behavior between RPBE and RPBE-D is much larger than that between PBE and PBE-D during annealing.

Despite the smaller changes in the vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures, annealing these structures still leads to different symmetries than the $Pnma$ spacegroup. Distances from symmetry range from 5.2 to 10.4 Å for the annealed vK-HT-Ortho structure, in the PW91 and revPBE-vdW functionals, respectively. Similarly, distances from symmetry range from 5.1 to 10.5 Å for the Olson-Ortho structure, for the PW91 and PBEsol functionals. For each input structure—IZA-DLS76, vK-
Restructuring barriers were analyzed using the NEB method and are shown in Figure 10. These barriers were less than 85 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for each grouping. See Figure S5 in the Supporting Information for figures of annealed IZA-DLS76 from each functional.

**Figure 9.** Structures after annealing and optimization restructuring of the IZA-DLS76 structure from (a) RPBE-D; (b) PBE-D; (c) grouping of RPBE, PBEsol, optPBE, and vdW-DF2; (d) PBE and revPBE-vdW; and (e) grouping of BEEF, PW91, and optB86b. The functional used to optimize the structure shown for each group is denoted with an asterisk (*). The average distance from the input structure \(\Delta x_{\text{unopt}}\) is shown in Å for each grouping. See Figure S5 in the Supporting Information for images of annealed IZA-DLS76 from each functional.

HT-Ortho, and Olson-Ortho—annealing leads to a structure that no longer conforms to the \(Pmma\) spacegroup symmetry, regardless of the relative stability of the resulting annealed structures.

Restructuring barriers were analyzed using the NEB method to generate minimum energy pathways between directly optimized MFI structures, annealed structures, and those obtained via adsorbate-facilitated restructuring (Section 3.2). These barriers were less than 85 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) in all cases, indicating that these restructuring events are facile at conditions relevant to catalysis.

**3.4. Optimization of Unit Cell Parameters.** Unit cell parameters for each MFI source structure (Table 1) were optimized constraining unit cell shape and atomic positions (ISIF = 7 in VASP), atomic positions alone (ISIF = 6), and free of all constraints (ISIF = 3). Unit cell parameter optimizations with constrained atomic positions (ISIF = 6 or 7) led to negligible changes in unit cell volume (<2%) and unit cell vector lengths (<0.09, <0.12, and <0.07 Å change for \(a, b,\) and \(c\) vectors, respectively) across all functionals (Figure 10). Optimization with or without shape constraints produced nearly identical results, indicating a preference for orthorhombic unit cell geometry when atomic positions were constrained (see Tables S3 and S4, showing unit cell vector lengths and angles, average Si–O bond lengths, and average Si–O–Si angles in the Supporting Information). These constrained unit cell optimizations (performed after atomic coordinate relaxations) do little to stabilize the MFI source files or prevent them from future restructuring during DFT studies.

Optimization of the unit cell parameters with shape relaxation and concomitant atomic relaxation (ISIF = 3), however, yields similar structures regardless of the MFI source structure for functionals without dispersion corrections. These structures (shown in Figures S9–S11 in the Supporting Information) have a nearly circular straight pore, similar to the initial \(vK\)-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures (Figure 1b,c). The unit cell expanded and reached nearly identical unit cell volumes for all three MFI sources for most DFT methods (Figure 10c). RPBE-D, however, decreased the unit cell size, including a severe collapse of the straight pore for the IZA-sourced MFI structure (22% decrease in unit cell volume). These unconstrained unit cell parameter optimizations (ISIF = 3) show large changes in unit cell volume and, as discussed next, significantly decreased potential energies, indicating that these calculations do stabilize the MFI structure against further restructuring during DFT studies. Furthermore, the changes in these unit cell volumes are not predicted by formation energies of the directly optimized MFI structures in each functional (see Table S6 in the Supporting Information).

Dispersion-corrected DFT calculations more accurately capture the properties of silica materials.\(^{84–87}\) Dispersion-corrected calculations on MFI, however, tend to underestimate unit cell vector lengths. The RPBE-D functional scheme particularly underestimates the unit cell size of all-silica MFI when optimizing both atomic positions and unit cell parameters: calculated unit cell volumes are up to 22% smaller than those observed experimentally. While unit cell volumes of different MFI models optimized in PBE-D are similar to those found experimentally (Figure 10), the pores of these structures still differ from those observed experimentally (Figures 3, 6, and 9).\(^{89,90}\) These alterations in the pore structure may result from overprediction of the Si–O bond lengths with DFT methods.\(^{110}\)

**3.5. Comparison of Optimization, Annealing, and Adsorbate-Facilitated Restructuring.** The directly optimized IZA-DLS76 structure is less stable than directly optimized \(vK\)-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures in all functionals (Figure 11), as also indicated by its relative instability during catalytic studies (adsorption-facilitated restructuring) or through simulated annealing (Table 3). Generally, annealed \(vK\)-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures are also more stable than the annealed IZA-DLS76 structures, and the same trend is observed for the fully unconstrained (ISIF = 3) cell parameter relaxations. The outputs of ISIF = 3 calculations generally yield the lowest potential energies for all DFT methods. These unconstrained unit cell parameter optimizations reduce the energies of the initial structures more in functional schemes that do not account for van der Waals or dispersive interactions (RPBE, PBE, PBEsol, and PW91); unconstrained unit cell...
Parameter optimizations in these functionals also tend to converge to similar pore morphologies regardless of the input (Figures S9−S11 in the Supporting Information). The inclusion of dispersion and van der Waals forces during unit cell optimization appears to affect structure optimization more severely.

Next, we examined the BEA, CHA, and LTA frameworks to determine if similar instabilities of the IZA-sourced structures are observed. The most severe instability in MFI is observed by annealing the IZA-sourced material in the RPBE-D functional scheme with a total energy decrease of 156 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Table 3), which is 1.63 kJ mol$^{-1}$ per T-site (kJ mol$^{-1}$). Identical annealing calculations in RPBE-D were performed for IZA-sourced structures of BEA (64 T-sites per unit cell), CHA (36 T-sites), and LTA (24 T-sites). Annealing these frameworks yielded structures only 0.20, 0.05, and 0.43 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for BEA, CHA, and LTA frameworks, respectively, indicating they are significantly more stable than the IZA-sourced MFI structure and have stabilities similar to those of the vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho MFI source structures.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Three common source structures of the MFI zeolite (IZA-DLS76, vK-HT-Ortho, and Olson-Ortho) were optimized in nine functionals, two of which were tested with and without added dispersive corrections (D3BJ). These DFT methods were applied to these MFI source structures in three ways: direct optimization, adsorption/desorption, and AIMD annealing to examine the structural stability of the catalyst model, the flexibility of the MFI framework, and to compare predicted structures among various methods. Direct optimization of the IZA-DLS76 structure produced a variety of MFI-like frameworks, depending on the DFT method applied, whereas the direct optimizations of the vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures led to nearly identical structures regardless of the DFT method.

Adsorption and desorption of MTO-related species in the IZA-DLS76 structure also revealed instability of Si-form analogues. These alterations of the MFI framework resulted in significant artifacts in the calculation of reaction and activation energies for reactions in MFI that can only be alleviated by the use of a more stable MFI source or by using an already-structured MFI framework. These adsorbate-facilitated restructuring events of the IZA structure were observed regardless of the DFT method, indicating the unsuitable nature of this material for DFT studies of catalytic and sorptive MFI properties.

The instabilities of all three MFI source structures were examined by simulated annealing using AIMD methods. Once again, the IZA-DLS76 framework was found to be unstable, with energies decreasing by a minimum of 17 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and by an average of 55 kJ mol$^{-1}$ across all DFT methods. Annealing of the vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho MFI source structures, in contrast, only resulted in more stable structures half the time, and they showed average energy decreases of just 5 kJ mol$^{-1}$.

Annealing of BEA, CHA, and LTA frameworks with RPBE-D—the functional and dispersion-correction scheme with the largest reduction in energy from the directly optimized structure—did not yield structures significantly lower in energy than their directly optimized structures. Direct optimization of the IZA-DLS76 structure is not stable using any DFT method; both adsorption/desorption and simulated annealing results in significant decreases in energy and these energy shifts can lead to artifacts in DFT predictions of adsorption, reaction, and activation energies. Direct optimization of vK-HT-Ortho and Olson-Ortho structures, in contrast, produced much more stable catalyst models suitable for DFT studies.
Unit cell parameter optimizations with fixed atomic coordinates did not result in unit cells with significantly different unit cell vectors or angles (<2% change in unit cell volume). Unit cell parameter optimizations with concomitant atomic relaxation, however, did yield structures significantly more stable than directly optimized structures. The structures resulting from unconstrained unit cell parameter optimizations were not in close agreement with structures found using experimental methods, and unit cell volumes of these optimized structures varied across functionals by >30% of the unit cell volume of vK-HT-Ortho (>1600 Å³). Optimization of unit cell parameters with schemes that included dispersion and van der Waals corrections led to larger variance in the output structures and the energies of output structures; unconstrained unit cell parameter optimization in functionals which do not include dispersive or van der Waals corrections resulted in extremely similar structures regardless of the initial structure.

These results indicate that the IZA-sourced MFI structure is inherently unstable using virtually any DFT method and that the other MFI source structures (from the works of van Koningsveld and Olson) restructure upon initial optimization but are then relatively stable in most DFT methods. The metastable nature of the framework makes it critical to identify the global minimum MFI structure, otherwise restructuring during DFT studies will create large artifacts (up to 160 kJ mol⁻¹) when computing adsorption, reaction, and activation energies using DFT methods. This work describes two techniques (AIMD annealing and fully unconstrained unit cell optimizations) that can mitigate these instabilities leading to appropriate model materials for the study of MFI's catalytic and sorptive properties. Fully unconstrained unit cell optimizations appear to reduce the energies of these structures more than other methods (direct optimization, annealing) which maintain the unit cell size and shape. These unconstrained optimizations, however, can lead to significant changes in the structure of these MFI frameworks with changes in the unit cell volume (average absolute change of 4.6%) especially with the RPBE functional with or without dispersion.

Zeolites are flexible materials, and thus they have many metastable states with low barriers between local minima on their potential energy surfaces. Framework perturbations can occur incidentally (e.g., during reaction studies) or can be forced (e.g., with AIMD annealing) and allow the framework to access a more stable form (a lower-energy local minimum). These shifts in framework energy can cause artifacts, mitigated by restructuring prior to additional catalytic or adsorption studies, at the expense of fidelity with experimentally observed MFI structures. Fully periodic ab initio dynamic studies of adsorptive and catalytic properties overcome these issues by calculating free energies that reflect framework flexibility at appropriate temperatures; however, these methods remain prohibitively expensive for large reaction networks and do not alter unit cell parameters, which themselves have large impacts on MFI energies and stabilities. The first step must then be to identify methods to generate stable ground-state MFI framework structures (annealing or fully unconstrained cell parameter optimizations) for the DFT method of choice and then account for flexibility and entropy using a combination of techniques, including dynamics.
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